#### Lecture 13: September 28

Lecturer: Siva Balakrishnan

# 13.1 Review and Outline

Last class we discussed:

- Consistency of the MLE
- The Fisher Information
- Asymptotic Normality of MLE

In today's lecture we will discuss some non-parametric estimation problems and discuss the plug-in method to estimate functionals. This is Chapter 7 of the Wasserman book. In the next lecture we will consider methods for estimating standard errors of the plug-in estimator.

## 13.2 Estimating the CDF

Formally, the setting is that we observe  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim F$ , and would like to estimate F. Perhaps worth noting that we impose absolutely no restrictions on  $F$ . Further, there is no notion of a (finite-dimensional) parameter that we can attempt to estimate in this context.

Some typical applications:

1. Estimating (many) interval probabilities: Suppose we observe a stochastic quantity many times, and are then interested in estimating the probability  $\mathbb{P}(a \leq$  $X \leq b$  for some fixed [a, b]. In this case we would just use the empirical counts, and use the empirical variance to get some idea of the variability. We could even use the CLT/Hoeffding's inequality to obtain concentration bounds, and confidence intervals.

Suppose now I wanted to estimate this probability for many intervals:  $[a_1, b_1], [a_2, b_2], \ldots, [a_k, b_k]$ for some very large  $k$ , and I want *simultaneous* confidence intervals, i.e., I want *ev*ery confidence interval to cover the corresponding probability with probability at least  $1 - \alpha$ . The naive way to do this would be to estimate each probability and do a union bound. Wouldn't it be nice if we could instead estimate the entire CDF reliably?

2. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test: This is only somewhat related to the estimation question we focus on today, but one other important use of the CDF is to test hypotheses about distributions, i.e. suppose I think my samples  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  have a  $N(0, 1)$  distribution. A natural way to test this hypothesis is by comparing the CDF of my samples to the CDF of a  $N(0, 1)$  distribution. In order to be more rigorous about the performance of such a test however, we need to understand a basic question: suppose the samples were truly from a  $N(0, 1)$  distribution, how far would we expect the sample CDF to be from the  $N(0, 1)$  CDF?

Our estimator for the CDF will just be the empirical CDF: the empirical CDF corresponds to the pmf that puts mass  $1/n$  at each data point  $X_i$ , i.e.:

$$
\widehat{F}_n(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}(X_i \leq x)}{n}.
$$

Let us try to investigate some basic properties of this estimator. Suppose we fix a value  $x$ :

1. Bias: The estimator we have proposed is unbiased, i.e.:

$$
\mathbb{E}(\widehat{F}_n(x)) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{I}(X_i \le x))}{n} = \mathbb{P}(X \le x).
$$

2. Variance: The variance of the estimator is:

$$
\text{Var}(\widehat{F}_n(x)) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X \le x)(1 - \mathbb{P}(X \le x))}{n}.
$$

3. MSE: The MSE at x is just the squared bias  $+$  variance, i.e.,

$$
\text{MSE} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X \le x)(1 - \mathbb{P}(X \le x))}{n} \to 0,
$$

as  $n \to \infty$ . From this we can conclude that for any fixed x our estimator converges in probability, i.e. that:

$$
\mathbb{P}(|\widehat{F}_n(x) - F(x)| \ge \epsilon) \to 0,
$$

as  $n \to \infty$ .

There are two additional important results that we will not prove but are worth knowing:

1. Glivenko-Cantelli: The Glivenko-Cantelli theorem is essentially a uniform LLN (we discussed these before briefly in the previous lecture). Precisely, it says that

$$
\sup_x |F(x) - \widehat{F}_n(x)| \to 0,
$$

almost surely. We have not seen almost sure convergence before but note that it implies convergence in probability. To emphasize, the previous result was a statement for a fixed x. The Glivenko-Cantelli theorem assures us that the empirical CDF converges to the true CDF *uniformly*, i.e. for every value x simultaneously.

2. DKW (Dvoretzky-Kiefer-Wolfowitz): The DKW inequality is a concentration inequality for CDFs. It implies the Glivenko-Cantelli theorem and is a more refined finite-sample bound:

$$
\mathbb{P}(\sup_x |F(x) - \widehat{F}_n(x)| \ge \epsilon) \le 2\exp(-2n\epsilon^2).
$$

One of the very nice things about the finite-sample bound is that we can use this to construct finite-sample confidence bands. Concretely, taking:

$$
L(x) = \max \left\{ \widehat{F}_n(x) - \epsilon_n, 0 \right\},
$$
  

$$
U(x) = \min \left\{ \widehat{F}_n(x) + \epsilon_n, 1 \right\},
$$

where

$$
\epsilon_n = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2n} \log \left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)},
$$

we have that:

$$
\mathbb{P}(\forall x, L(x) \le F(x) \le U(x)) \ge 1 - \alpha.
$$

It is again worth pondering why or how it is that ULLNs work, i.e. why is it possible that the empirical CDF is close to the true CDF for *every possible x*? ULLNs and more generally empirical process theory is at the heart of the more advanced statistical estimation results.

### 13.3 Estimating Statistical Functionals

We should first briefly remark on what exactly a functional is. We think of a function as a map from a point in some input space to the reals, i.e.,

$$
f: x \mapsto f(x),
$$

on the other hand a functional maps a function to a real number. A typical functional is the value of the function at some point  $x_0$ , i.e.

$$
T(f): f \mapsto f(x_0),
$$

A statistical functional typically refers to a function of the CDF. Some canonical examples:

1. Mean: The mean can be thought of as a functional, i.e.:

$$
\mu(F) = \int x \ dF(x).
$$

2. Variance: Similarly, the variance is a functional:

$$
\text{Var}(F) = \int (x - \mu(F))^2 \, dF(x).
$$

3. Linear Functionals: In general, we define linear functionals (like the mean) to be functionals of the form:

$$
T(F) = \int r(x) \, dF(x),
$$

for some function r. These are called linear because if we take  $U = aF + bG$  then,

$$
T(U) = aT(F) + bT(G).
$$

The mean is a linear functional but the variance is not.

#### 13.3.1 The plug-in estimator

A natural estimator for a linear functional is to plug-in the empirical CDF and use the resulting functional, i.e.:

$$
\widehat{T}(F) := T(\widehat{F}_n) = \int r(x) \ d\widehat{F}_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n r(X_i).
$$

Again the canonical example is estimating the mean of a distribution.

$$
\hat{\mu} = \hat{T}(F) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i.
$$

This principle can also sometimes be used to estimate non-linear functionals like the variance.

$$
\hat{\sigma}^2 = \int x^2 \, d\widehat{F}_n(x) - \left(\int x \, d\widehat{F}_n(x)\right)^2
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right)^2
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \widehat{\mu})^2.
$$

We will conclude this lecture with two more canonical examples:

Skewness: The skewness of a RV is:

$$
\kappa = \frac{\mathbb{E}(X - \mu)^3}{\sigma^3} = \frac{\mathbb{E}(X - \mu)^3}{\left(\mathbb{E}(X - \mu)^2\right)^{3/2}},
$$

so we can see that we could use the plug-in principle separately on the numerator and denominator and then further use the plug-in principle to estimate  $\mu$ . This leads to the estimator:

$$
\widehat{\kappa} = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \widehat{\mu})^3}{\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \widehat{\mu})^2\right)^{3/2}}.
$$

Correlation: The correlation between two RVs is a functional of the joint distribution of the pair  $(X, Y)$ . The correlation is:

$$
\rho = \frac{\mathbb{E}(X - \mu_X)(Y - \mu_Y)}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y}.
$$

As an exercise show that the plug-in estimator is the sample correlation:

$$
\widehat{\rho} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \widehat{\mu}_X)(Y_i - \widehat{\mu}_Y)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \widehat{\mu}_X)^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - \widehat{\mu}_Y)^2}}.
$$

It is worth noting that in some sense all of these estimators are completely non-parametric, i.e. there are no parametric assumptions about the underlying distribution being made in order to derive estimators.