

Homework 11: Teacher, Leave Those Kids Alone! (They're the Control Group)

36-402, Spring 2024

Due at 6:00 pm on Thursday, 18 April 2024

AGENDA: Causal inference will continue until morale improves.

The Tennessee STAR project was a randomized experiment which sought to determine whether children learn more in classrooms with fewer students. Students within participating schools were randomly assigned to either (i) small (< 18 student) classrooms, (ii) to ordinary-sized classrooms, or (iii) to ordinary-size classrooms where the teacher had an aide. We will focus on the contrast between (i) small classrooms and (ii) ordinary-size-with-no-aide classrooms (or “regular classrooms” for short).

The study began in kindergarten and continued through third grade. Students initially assigned to the small-class condition for the most part stayed in it (there were a few unavoidable exceptions for administrative reasons); students assigned to the two large-class conditions were re-randomized in the second year of the study, and thereafter changed only minimally. New students entering the schools in the study were randomized into the three conditions. Teachers were also randomized as to which kind of classroom they got. Learning was assessed (in the initial phase of the project) through annual standardized tests of reading and math.

A standard version of the data set is available as `STAR` in the `AER` package, which you may need to install. See `help(STAR)` for the definitions of the variables named below.

General: Whenever you are asked to give standard errors, you should either bootstrap or provide an explanation of why, in this particular situation, R's default calculations of standard errors should be reliable. Unless explicitly called for, do not report R's p-values, or any significance stars.

1. *Causality? Reverse causality?*

- (a) (5) Linearly regress `readk` and `mathk` on `stark`. Report the coefficients and standard errors. Explain why a non-parametric regression would be redundant here.
- (b) (5) Linearly regress `read3` and `math3` on `stark`. Report the coefficients and their standard errors as above.

- (c) (5) Explain how a randomized treatment received in kindergarten can predict test scores three years later.
 - (d) (5) Linearly regress `readk` and `mathk` on `star3`. Report the coefficients and their standard errors as above.
 - (e) (5) Explain how a treatment received in the third grade can predict test scores in kindergarten, three years *earlier*.
 - (f) (5) To estimate the causal effect of the `stark` on `readk` and `mathk`, should we control for `star3`? (Explain.)
 - (g) (5) To estimate the causal effect of the `star3` on `read3` and `math3`, should we control for `stark`? (Again, explain.)
2. (15) For each year from kindergarten through third grade, provide an estimate of the *difference* in expected reading and math scores when students are assigned to a small classroom versus an ordinary classroom. (That is, estimate the average treatment effect of a small versus an ordinary classroom.) Include an estimated standard error for each of estimated difference. You may present your results either as a table or graphically; make sure it's easy to read and compare across years and subjects.
- Explain how you obtained your estimates, and why that procedure is, for this data, a valid way of estimating the desired average treatment effects. If you have to control or adjust for any covariates to get the average treatment effects, explain which ones you used and why.
3. *Heterogeneity of effects* There is considerable interest in knowing whether the effects of smaller classes are different for different groups of students.
- (a) (10) Report estimates of the average treatment effects of small versus regular-size-without-an-aid classrooms on kindergarten reading and math scores, for Caucasian, African-American and Asian students¹. Include standard errors.
 - (b) (4) Explain why, to get such estimates from linear regression, the right models would be of the form `lm(readk~stark*ethnicity)`, and why `lm(readk~stark+ethnicity)` would be uninformative.
4. *Observational inference in an experimental study* Students whose families are sufficiently poor qualify for free lunches at school. This is recorded in the variables `lunchk` through `lunch3`. We want to know whether being above or below this threshold level of poverty has a causal effect on student's scores.
- (a) (5) Report the mean scores for reading and for math in each grade for students who do and do not qualify for free lunches (in that grade). Include standard errors.

¹These are the names used at the time in the records. The other three ethnic groups in the study had so few students that their results are very uninformative.

- (b) (5) If we want to estimate the effect of `lunchk` on kindergarten reading and math scores, does it make sense to control for `stark`? Explain.
 - (c) (10) Consider the following variables: `gender`, `ethnicity`, `schoolk`, `experiencek`, `tethnicityk`, `systemk`, `schoolidk`, `lunch1`. When estimating the effect of `lunchk` on kindergarten test scores, which of these should be controlled for, which of them should not be controlled for, and which of them do you not have enough information to say? If you answer “not enough information” for any variables, what more would you have to know? (Be more specific than “I would need the complete causal graph”.)
 - (d) (5) If we want to estimate the effect of `lunchk` on first-grade reading and math scores, under what assumptions should we control for `readk` and `mathk`? Under what assumptions should we not control for them?
5. (1) *Timing* How long, roughly, did you spend on this assignment? How much of that time was spent on math, on coding/debugging, and on writing?

PRESENTATION RUBRIC (10): The text is laid out cleanly, with clear divisions between problems and sub-problems. The writing itself is well-organized, free of grammatical and other mechanical errors, and easy to follow. Plots are carefully labeled, with informative and legible titles, axis labels, and (if called for) sub-titles and legends; they are placed near the text of the corresponding problem. All plots and tables are generated by code included in the R Markdown file. All quantitative and mathematical claims are supported by appropriate derivations, included in the text, or calculations in code. Numerical results are reported to appropriate precision. All parts of all problems are answered with actual coherent sentences, and raw computer code or output are only shown when explicitly asked for. Text from the homework assignment, including this rubric, is included only when relevant, not blindly copied.