Predicting Cognitive Impairment from Language

Carnegie Mellon University Statistics & Data Science

Introduction

Research Question: Can past linguistic abilities indicate impairment occurrence a decade later?

• Data source: Wisconsin Longitudinal Study (WLS)

Initial linguistic assessment, 2011

10 years

Cognitive evalu 2020

Data

Data Description

- 801 participants of the WLS, completed Cookie Theft Tage
- Cognitive status assessed in **2020**:
 - Normal Cognition: 698
 - Cognitively Impaired: 103

Predictors:

Linguistic abilities assessed via the Cookie Theft Task, categorized into three groups:

- 1. General Linguistic Ability
- 2. Linguistic Disfluency
- 3. Core Lexicon Term Usage



Cookie Theft Picture, an tool to investigate lingu

References

TalkBank Repository. Retrieved from https://talkbank.org/

Lanzi, Alyssa M., et al. "DementiaBank: Theoretical Rationale, Protocol, and Illustrative Analyses." 2022, Williams, Victoria J., et al. "Assessing Dementia Prevalence in the Wisconsin Longitudinal Study: Cohort Profile, Protocol, and Preliminary Findings." 2021.

Authors: Xinfei Cen, Jin Yu Kim, Yuntian Shen, Ziyan Wang Advisor: Dr. Joel Greenhouse Client: Dr. Davida Fromm

	Methods					
ognitive	Approach Logistic Regression					
	 Stepwise; separate models from each of three sub-categories; combined model 					
ation,	 (i) Stepwise Logistic Regression, adjusted for Age and Sex 		(ii) Assess Multicollinearity using VIF and Correlation Matrix			iii) Assess Goodness of Fit (Hosmer Lemeshow Test)
	Results					
	Summary of logi con	stic regression models built us trol variables. Only statistically	sing selected y significant v	variables, with age (s ariables p<.10 are re	statistically s ported, exce	significant in all models) and sex as ept for the final model
sk in 2011		Variable		Coefficient (SE)	p-value	Goodness of Fit (HL test) p-value
	General linguistic	Grammatical complexity index		0.05 (0.03)	0.081	0.29
		log(Duration)		-1.32 (0.40)	0.001	
		log(Lexical diversity)		-3.38 (1.24)	0.006	
		log(Words/minute)		-1.26 (0.50)	0.012	
	Disfluency	sqrt(Total words, without repetition and revision)		-0.09 (0.04)	0.039	0.07
	Corelex	# of omitted words		0.12 (0.06)	0.035	0.23
	Final Model (all variables used are reported)	Grammatical complexity index		0.05 (0.03)	0.089	0.21
		log(Duration)		-1.19 (0.42)	0.005	
		log(Mean length of utterance in words)		-0.21 (0.32)	0.506	
		log(Lexical Diversity)		-3.37 (1.24)	0.006	
		log(Words/minute)		-1.14 (0.52)	0.027	
		# of omitted words		0.06 (0.07)	0.369	
assessment stic abilities			Con	clusion		

Main Findings

Implication

- Assessment of linguistic ability help with early detection of cognitive impairment.





Conclusion

• Measurements of linguistic ability are able to predict cognitive impairment **10 years later**. • Other analytic methods used: random forest, survival analysis (no significant findings).

• Contribute to the broader field of aging research through analysis of longitudinal data.

